

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
07/23/2001	Yoshio Sugaya	211758US0	9196	'
90 03/05/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		EXAMINER		
		ZITOMER, FRED		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	13
		1713	<u> </u>	, ,
		DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003		
	07/23/2001 90 03/05/2003 VAK, MCCLELLAN REET	07/23/2001 Yoshio Sugaya 90 03/05/2003 VAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. REET	07/23/2001 Yoshio Sugaya 211758US0 90 03/05/2003 VAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. EXAMIREET 2, VA 22314 ART UNIT 1713	07/23/2001 Yoshio Sugaya 211758US0 9196 90 03/05/2003 VAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. REET ., VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DESCRIPTION TO COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office ADDRESS: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTY, DOCKET NO.

7

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

- 1

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning the above identified application.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Attached is a copy of the telephone Interview Summary of February 24, 2003.

FRED ZITOMER, PHD PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1713

Zitomer/fz February 24, 2003

Γ

L

Attachment: Form PTOL-413.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/909,898

Sugaya et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Fred Zitomer

Art Unit

1713

<u></u>	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personne)):
(1) Fred Zitomer (3)	
Date of Interview Feb 24, 2003	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No	plicant's representative] b. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed: Of record.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) □	was not reached. h) 🛛 N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature o any other comments:	f what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Applicant requested clarification of what is meant by "meaningful h	ead-to-head comparison" as stated in the prior Office
action. Applicant was advised that such comparison requires direct the same composition, one prepared as claimed herein, the other diministry with the binder. It is important to note that even if criticality	fering only in that the monomer is polymerized before
must be demonstarted.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments wallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	thich the examiner agreed would render the claims ne amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate reco	d of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRIT INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview.	n 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required